APPENDIX C

Mollison Way - Public Consultation results summary

Public consultation for the scheme ran from 16th May until 6th June 2011.

Approximately 1,300 consultation leaflets and questionnaires in total were delivered to households and businesses along Mollison Way including the side roads adjoining Mollison Way. Posters were placed on street and information leaflets distributed to shopkeepers to give wider publicity of the consultation and provide details about the scheme and invite comments about the proposals.

An exhibition was held at both the Bob Lawrence Library and the Harness Harrow Medical Centre, both located in Mollison Way, over a three week period during the consultation. Council officers were made available on two weekdays and one Saturday to answer questions and queries from the public about the scheme. These were well attended with over fifty visitors during the three days.

Details of the scheme were also available on the council's website and 23 residents have responded to the questionnaires on line.

The response rate was around 11% which is a fairly typical response rate for similar consultations undertaken in the area. This figure does not include the 48 businesses in Mollison Way who responded jointly in support of the scheme.

The results of the consultation can be broken down as follows:

Comments	Number of responses	%
Totally supportive *	62	47%
Supportive with some comments **	38	29%
Neutral or no comments ***	19	14%
Opposed with some comments ****	9	7%
Opposed *****	4	3%
Total	132	

The assessment of the consultation returns has grouped responses into five typical groups as shown in the table above. The most common and typical types of responses received for each group are as shown below:

^{*} In support of proposal - it's been a long time coming and these are exactly the issues that need to be addressed

^{**} Supportive with some comments - New crossing points, and CCTV cameras will make the area safer

^{***} Neutral or no comments - The local area's history and association with De Havilland, Jim Mollison and Amy Johnson should be commemorated

^{****} Opposed with some comments - Non-resident parking in residential streets needs to be addressed, particularly as shoppers and workers may park in the side streets if fewer parking spaces are available outside the shops

^{*****} Opposed - The scheme is a waste of money and the funds could be better spent on other things